| Student Evaluation of Field Mentor | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|--|--|
| Student ID #: | Student Name: | | | |
| Field Mentor Name: | | Academic Year: | | |
| | | P 1 62 | | |

 $Page\ 1\ of\ 2$

| My Field Mentor | Never | Seldom | Sometimes | Usually | Always |
|--|-------|--------|-----------|---------|--------|
| Gave adequate attention to my supervision. | | | | | |
| 2. Gave me adequate feedback on materials submitted. | | | | | |
| Gave me adequate personal assistance. | | | | | |
| 4. Seemed to care whether I accomplish my goals. | | | | | |
| 5. Took time to discover my problems/concerns. | | | | | |
| 6. Made me feel supported. | | | | | |
| 7. Helped me think things through. | | | | | |
| 8. Helped me explore alternatives. | | | | | |
| 9. Shared his/her feelings with me. | | | | | |
| 10. Was accessible to me. | | | | | |
| 11. Was able to confront me. | | | | | |
| 12. Kept confidences. | | | | | |
| 13. Kept time contracts. | | | | | |
| 14. Helped me with personal issues/concerns. | | | | | |
| 15. Helped me with professional issues/concerns. | | | | | |
| 16. Helped me to develop ministry skills and competencies. | | | | | |
| 17. Helped me with identifying my strengths. | | | | | |
| 18. Helped me with identifying my weaknesses. | | | | | |
| 19. Helped me in how to deal with my weaknesses. | | | | | |
| 20. Gave me adequate help in preparing my covenant. | | | | | |
| 21. Too opinionated. | | | | | |
| 22. Too demanding. | | | | | |
| 23. Not demanding enough. | | | | | |
| 24. Too busy. | | | | | |
| 25. Respectful toward me. | | | | | |
| 26. Respectful of my theological stance. | | | | | |
| 27. Aware of Golden Gate's supervision emphasis. | | | | | |
| 28. Informed about supervision techniques. | | | | | |
| 29. Disorganized. | | | | | |
| 30. Too rigid. | | | | | |

| Overall Assessment of the Field Mentor (check only one) | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Highly recommended | (Nearly all areas of supervision were valuable.) | | | | |
| | Recommended | (The strengths of the Field Mentor outweigh the weaknesses.) | | | | |
| | Uncertain | (Weaknesses and strengths make it difficult to evaluate.) | | | | |
| | Not recommended | (Weaknesses outweigh strengths.) | | | | |
| Additional comments: If you would like to comment specifically on the performance of your Field Mentor, please do so below. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |